Recovery Strategies in Professional Men's Ice Hockey: Balancing Effectiveness, Practicality, Cost, and Athlete Compliance

Cyril Besson, [1 2 3 4] **Alexandra Perroud**, [4] **Lassi A. Laakso**, [5] **Quirin Söhnlein**, [6] **Aaron Baggish**, [3 4 7] **Vincent Gremeaux** [3 4]

[1]CEO, Tenshin Performance Consulting, Chapelle, Switzerland
[2]Sport scientist, Switzerland men's national ice hockey team, Glattbrugg, Switzerland
[3]Sports and Exercise Medicine Center, Swiss Olympic Medical Center, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
[4]Lausanne University Sports Sciences Institute, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
[5]Head of Performance, Hockey Club Lugano, Lugano, Switzerland
[6]Head of Performance, Lausanne Hockey Club, Lausanne, Switzerland
[7]Department of Cardiology, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

Athlete monitoring | Sport recovery | Travel fatigue | Performance support | Cost-effectiveness | Adherence

Headline

Professional men's ice hockey imposes repeated high-intensity efforts, frequent collisions, and congested travel schedules (1). This report reviews commonly used recovery strategies and evaluates them through four applied dimensions—effectiveness, practicality, cost, and athlete compliance—providing a practical roadmap for sustaining performance across a professional season.

Aim

The paper synthesizes evidence on recovery strategies for professional men's ice hockey, contextualized by hockey-specific fatigue mechanisms (neuromuscular, metabolic, cognitive, sleep/travel-related) and competition structure (league calendars, back-to-backs, international play). Strategies are appraised across effectiveness, practicality, cost, and athlete compliance. For topics in which hockey-specific data are scarce, findings from other team sports are integrated.

Physiological and organizational demands

Men's professional match play features short, explosive shifts (≈30–80 s) interspersed with bench recovery (≈2–5 min). All athletes perform substantial amounts of high-intensity skating but there are role-dependent load profiles with forwards typically performing higher per-minute intensity and defensemen greater total time and distance (2,3). This workload produces both neuromuscular and metabolic fatigue and is associated with load dependent risk of injury (4). In addition, psychological and sleep-related stressors arise from late-night games and prolonged postseason play. Travel fatigue can exacerbate circadian disruption, reduce sleep quality, and impair decision-making, all of which compromise recovery (5). The competitive structure of professional ice hockey further complicates recovery planning. In addition to domestic competitions, many athletes transition immediately to international tournaments which further increases the density of the annual competition calendar. The annual calendars of major professional leagues and international tournaments in men's ice hockey are summarized in Table 1. This context underscores why recovery strategies cannot be appraised in isolation but rather by the integration of effectiveness, practicality, cost, and athlete compliance and the sport's unique mix of fatigue mechanisms and organizational demands.

Fatigue mechanisms

Fatigue among professional ice hockey is multifactorial with contributions from intermittent high-intensity shifts, repeated collisions, congested schedules, and disrupted recovery opportunities (1). Energy supply during shifts depends primarily on anaerobic glycolysis and phosphocreatine (PCr), while aerobic metabolism sustains recovery between shifts. Repeated sprint efforts rapidly deplete PCr and glycogen, and limited resynthesis capacity constrains readiness for subsequent play (Gaitanos et al. 1993; Vigh-Larsen et al. 2020). Substantial reductions in whole-muscle glycogen content have been observed post-match, with depletion across fiber types plausibly limiting late game performance and delaying restoration of readiness for subsequent matches within congested schedules (9–11). Accumulation of hydrogen ions and associated pH changes impair cross-bridge function and calcium handling, reducing force and power during repeated sprints (12). These mechanisms manifest as significant late game decrements, including reduced force production, altered stride mechanics, and diminished skating speed and acceleration (13,14). Carbohydrate availability (pre/in/post-game) supports high-intensity work and may sustain output late in games. In-game carbohydrate ingestion including mouth-rinsing during overtime show benefits in hockey-specific tasks. In addition, meaningful sweat loss occurs despite relatively cool ambient environment due to gear-limited heat dissipation (15). Hypohydration degrades thermoregulation and intermittent-sprint performance (16–18).

Beyond these metabolic and neuromuscular factors, central fatigue is also highly impacting performance and recovery. Congested schedules, late-night games, and transcontinental travel impair decision-making, reaction speed, and attentional control, while psychological stressors elevate perceived exertion and reduce recovery quality (19–21). Sleep disruption and circadian misalignment are particularly detrimental, often compounded by heightened post-game arousal and delayed emotional downregulation, together slowing both physiological and cognitive restoration (22).

Recovery occurs across overlapping time domains rather than fixed stages. PCr resynthesis and metabolite clearance dominate the first 24-48 hours post-match with glycogen and neuromuscular restoration typically occurring within 72 hours under normal conditions (23). In practical terms, the day following competition (Game Day +1) represents the primary metabolic constraint due to substrate depletion, whereas by Game Day +2, energy stores are largely replenished unless cumulative load or travel disrupts recovery. During congested schedules such as playoffs, residual neuromuscular and central fatigue may accumulate despite restored substrate availability (11,21). These dynamics reinforce the need for adaptive, context-specific recovery planning, especially because games occur every 24 to 72 h, thus requiring differentiated strategies for back-to-back versus game–rest–game sequences.

Recovery in professional ice hockey must integrate both physiological and psychological domains, adapted to league structures and player demands. To date, the evidence base dictating hockey-specific recovery strategies remains limited, with much extrapolated from other collision and intermittent sports, highlighting the need for sport-specific investigation such as the present review.

Table 1. Competitive calendars of major professional leagues and international tournaments in men's ice hockey.

League / Tournament	Regular season (approx.)	Games	Playoffs / Finals	End date	Travel load
NHL	Oct – Apr	82	4 x best-of-7	Mid-Jun	Very high (cross-continent)
KHL	Sep – Feb/Mar	56–62	4 x best-of-7	Late Apr	High (large variance)
SHL	Sep – Mar	∼52	3 x best-of-7	Late Apr	Moderate (domestic)
NL	Sep – Mar	∼52	3 x best-of-7	Late Apr	Low (domestic)
IIHF Worlds	May – Jun (annual)	∼7–10	Group + knockout	Late May	Global, high
Olympics	Feb (quadrennial)	6–8	Group + knockout	Feb	Global, very high

HL = National Hockey League (USA/CAN); KHL = Kontinental Hockey League (RUS/BEL/KAZ/CHI);
SHL = Swedish Hockey League; NL = National League (SWI); IIHF = International Ice Hockey Federation

Targeted literature review

A targeted literature review was performed (PubMed 2005–2025) using the terms: *ice hockey, team sports, recovery, performance, fatigue* for meta-analysis, reviews and systematic reviews. We included peer-reviewed studies in male elite/professional cohorts with interventions or outcomes relevant to recovery. Comparable sports (e.g., soccer, rugby, basketball) were included when hockey-specific data were absent. Manual snowballing identified primary literature, cornerstone reviews and additional relevant studies. Findings were narratively synthesized and appraised across the four applied dimensions. Practitioner insights from professional hockey environments were integrated to contextualize evidence. The detailed procedure and results are made available to all interested researchers upon request.

Results

Results are presented by recovery category and summarized in Table 2. The targeted review, ultimately inclusive of 77 studies, yields a heterogeneous but complementary body of evidence spanning nutritional, physiological, psychological, and technological recovery modalities.

Sleep and travel management

Sleep is consistently identified as the most powerful recovery modality based on its systemic effects on metabolic, neuromuscular, endocrine, and cognitive restoration. Yet, sleep is frequently disrupted by night games, post-game emotional and physiological arousal, irregular schedules, caffeine intake, and extensive travel. Among the principal components of sleep quality, total sleep time and continuity are the strongest determinants of next-day readiness and injury risk, while regularity of wake time and circadian alignment critically influence hormonal and cognitive recovery. Interventions such as sleep hygiene education, structured bedtime routines, scheduled naps, and light-based circadian management appear to mitigate these effects (22,24,25). Monitoring trends in sleep duration, efficiency, and latency may further facilitate individualized strategies. These challenges are magnified in the NHL and KHL, where congested calendars and long-haul travel exacerbate circadian misalignment balance (1).

Nutrition and hydration

Strong evidence supports carbohydrate–protein co-ingestion within 30–60 min post-game to accelerate glycogen resynthesis and muscle repair (26,27). Polyphenols and omega-3s may attenuate oxidative stress and inflammation, though evidence in hockey remains limited (28,29). Hypohydration >2% body mass impairs technical and cognitive performance, and hockey players remain at elevated risk due to the intensity of physical exertion, heavy protective equipment, and travel logistics, even though games are played in relatively cool arena environments (30).

Hydrotherapy and thermal interventions

Cold-water immersion (CWI; 11–15 °C, 10–15 min) remains the most evidence-supported water-based modality, effectively reducing soreness, muscle-damage markers, and improving perceptual recovery within 24–48 h post-game (31–33). Extremely cold protocols (< 10 °C) or whole-body cryotherapy offer similar perceptual effects with reduced scalability and no clear physiological advantage (33,34). Conversely, hot-water immersion or sauna or heat exposure (e.g. 41–44 °C for 30–45 min) enhances circulation, glycogen resynthesis, and heat-shock-protein activity, supporting muscle function recovery when applied after intense matches or travel (33). Contrast baths combining cold and heat may provide additional benefits for swelling and soreness in collision sports (35). Practicality is highest for moderate CWI or short sauna use with the necessary facilities often readily available within arena. Though definitive data are lacking, thermal modalities may be most effective when applied sequentially using cooling early to limit secondary tissue damage followed by heating to promote repair. Although CWI is effective for muscle recovery, its use immediately after late-night games may delay sleep onset due to increased sympathetic activation and core temperature disruption. In such contexts, mild heat or relaxation-based recovery (e.g., warm showers, brief sauna) is preferable post-game, with CWI deferred to the following day when the primary aim shifts to muscle soreness reduction and inflammation control.

Mechanical interventions

Mechanical interventions such as compression garments or boots, active recovery, blood flow restriction (BFR) and massage are in widespread use in elite ice hockey due to their portability and/or ease of integration into daily routines. BFR promotes local perfusion, accelerates torque recovery, and reduces soreness within 24–48 h post-exercise when applied passively or with low-intensity movement (33). However, BFR protocols require individualized cuff pressure calibration and professional supervision. Compression garments provide low-level external pressure that may reduce venous pooling and perceived soreness but show limited effects on neuromuscular recovery or performance (36). Pneumatic compression boots (intermittent pneumatic compression) apply sequenced inflation–deflation cycles (typically 20–30 min at 80 mmHg) and show small but consistent reductions in soreness without clear improvements in neuromuscular or performance outcomes (37). Massage is consistently valued by players for its perceptual benefits, although physiological evidence remains modest and its application is constrained by staff time and logistics support (31). Self-myofascial release using foam rollers or massage tools improves flexibility and range of motion without impairing strength or power, while enhancing recovery perception and reducing delayed onset of muscle soreness (38). Active recovery—typically 6–10 min of low-intensity cycling or running—supports circulation and perceptual recovery, but performance effects remain inconsistent and appear dependent on individual fitness and timing (39).

Psychological and cognitive recovery

Mental fatigue impairs decision-making and tactical execution in team sports. Interventions such as brief mindfulness sessions, controlled breathing, or guided relaxation reduce perceived stress and autonomic arousal, improving focus and emotional regulation during congested phases (40). Passive strategies including music, nature imagery, or low-stimulus visual exposure facilitate parasympathetic reactivation and mental detachment, particularly during travel or hotel recovery (41). These methods are inexpensive, portable, and easy to embed within daily routines (e.g., brief mindfulness interventions integrated into recovery meetings) making them among the most scalable and underused tools in elite recovery practice.

Other modalities and future directions

Use of other modalities such as neuromuscular electrical stimulation (42), hyperbaric and hyper-/hyp-oxic therapies, heart rate variability-guided recovery, photobiomodulation (43), brainwave entrainment, and floatation therapy for example are increasingly marketed to professional teams. To date, the evidence base supporting their effectiveness in elite ice hockey is limited, with current application primarily based on evidence-informed practice rather than hockey-specific research. Increasingly, individualized recovery frameworks may integrate neuro-motor and cognitive profiling—such as preferred movement patterns, motor signatures and decision-making preferences (44). Aligning recovery strategies with an athlete's natural movement organization may enhance recovery effectiveness, although formal validation in elite sport is still emerging.

These techniques offer diverse perceptual recovery benefits but inconsistent physiological effects, making them best suited as adjunct or individualized options. Future directions should include hockey-specific validation of these emerging modalities and longitudinal profiling across full competitive seasons. Individualized recovery with accounting for external and internal load responses, individual preferences, chronotype, and player history may guide practice.

Monitoring

In ice hockey, longitudinal data indicate progressive aerobic deconditioning and cumulative fatigue across the season, underscoring the need for a simple, integrated monitoring framework combining subjective, physiological, and external load data to guide daily recovery decision (45). Monitoring tools are central to guiding effective individualized recovery. Subjective scales assessing fatigue, soreness, and sleep quality remain the most practical and sensitive options in applied environments (46). Heart rate variability, when collected with consistent protocols, interpreted in the context of individual baseline values, and considered in conjunction with subjective reporting of recovery, provides information about autonomic nervous system status (47). Simple field tests such as countermovement jump (CMJ) force–time metrics, adductor (groin) squeeze, and joint range-of-motion (JROM) screens provide complementary insights into recovery status. When administered at regular intervals, CMJ reflects neuromuscular readiness and short-term fatigue while groin and JROM measures indicate local structural status and emerging injury risk (48). Biochemical markers may be associated with post-match stress but lack reliability and practicality for routine team use (48). Integrated technologies such as Local Positioning System/Inertial Measurement Unit (skating distance/velocity, accelerations, collisions) quantify external load, and when combined with internal responses and alongside subjective metrics, enable staff to better align recovery strategies with actual match and training demands (46,49).

Overall, the reviewed evidence shows that while several recovery modalities demonstrate effectiveness in reducing subjective fatigue and physiological markers, their practical implementation in elite hockey requires alignment with schedule constraints, travel demands, and player adherence.

Discussion

The evaluation of recovery strategies in elite ice hockey is best structured around four dimensions—effectiveness, practicality, cost, and athlete compliance—as these capture both psychophysiological effectiveness and real-world feasibility.

Sleep and nutrition are indispensable components of the recovery process as they act on multiple pathways including substrate restoration, muscle repair, cognitive recovery and hormonal regulation (50,51). Structured strategies for optimal sleep and nutrition are relatively low cost, and well received among athletes leading to high rates of compliance. From a practical perspective, these strategies are highly scalable through structured routines (team catering, immediate post-game carbohydrate–protein intake, portable recovery snacks, scheduled naps, and circadian management during travel). Collectively, these mechanisms address the principal physiological and psychological disturbances induced by competition and travel (27,52).

Beyond the foundational strategies of optimizing sleep and nutrition, several additional practical modalities may be complementary based on moderate physiological data but strong perceptual benefits. Compression garments, self-myofascial release, CWI, BFR and short active recovery sessions are the most consistently used due to their portability (except for CWI), simplicity, and minimal staff requirements. The widespread adoption of these interventions is best explained by convenience, autonomy, and compatibility with congested travel schedules rather than the true magnitude of their effect on recovery.

In contrast, resource-intensive modalities such as pneumatic compression, cryotherapy chambers, hyperbaric or hypoxic therapies, and device-based neuromuscular stimulation demonstrate modest physiological benefits but face considerable barriers to scalability. High purchase and maintenance costs, requirement for specialized staff, and time demands limit their feasibility during in-season play. As such, these modalities are best deployed selectively; typically for individual cases such as players returning from injury, those with excessive cumulative fatigue or early signs of non-functional overreaching, or during longer schedule breaks (off-days, bye weeks, or offseason periods) when additional time and staff resources are available.

Across all recovery modalities, compliance, not effect size, remains the decisive determinant of impact. Athlete belief, convenience, team culture, and social influence often outweigh physiological evidence in determining whether a strategy is used consistently. Importantly, adherence is driven less by physical comfort and more by perceived effectiveness, ritual value, and the subjective feeling that a strategy "works," even when the sensation itself is unpleasant (e.g., CWI, BFR) (40). Placebo and expectancy effects amplify these perceptions, helping to explain why some low-evidence modalities remain popular in elite sport and reinforcing the importance of clear communication and individualized education.

Embedding recovery into existing team routines including structured nutritionally-optimized post-game meals, provision of portable recovery tools in hotel rooms, or the use of guided recovery briefings enhances adherence without adding significant operational burden.

Effective recovery programming depends on coordinated monitoring and multidisciplinary decision-making. To support actionable decision-making, practitioners should rely on decision-oriented statistics (e.g., estimating the size of an effect and whether it is meaningful for performance (magnitude-based inferences, minimal clinically important differences)) rather than simply asking whether a p-value is below 0.05 (53). In practice, this means judging whether a recovery intervention produces a change large enough to matter on the field (e.g., +3-5% jump performance or -1 point soreness) rather than only whether it is "statistically significant."

Equally, governance and integration of team staff is of paramount importance. Coordinated planning and clear communication across medical, nutrition, performance, sport science, and psychology staff prevent fragmented approaches. This alignment ensures that resources are invested collectively and proportionally in the strategies that provide the highest practical impact.

Research in professional ice hockey continues to lag behind work done in other team sports. Future efforts should focus on validating current and emerging modalities in hockey-specific settings, and on developing longitudinal monitoring strategies across congested schedules. Individualized recovery profiling is likely to yield the most meaningful advances. Ultimately, optimizing recovery in elite hockey to sustain performance and health across the season will best be accomplished by aligning evidence-based data, athlete-centered practice, operational efficiency, and multidisciplinary governance.

Table 3 summarizes a practical roadmap aligning financial investment with return across the four key dimensions—effectiveness, practicality, cost, and athlete compliance. Beyond equipment or facility costs, recovery planning must also account for operational expenditure: practitioner time, athlete education, scheduling logistics, and data management. These often outweigh acquisition price and largely determine the feasibility and sustainability of any recovery system.

Table 2. Appraisal of recovery modalities by effectiveness, practicality, cost, and compliance.

Modality	Primary Aim	Effectiveness	Practicality	Cost*	Athlete Compliance
Sleep optimization (hygiene, naps, circadian management)	Systemic restoration	⋆⋆⋆	⋆⋆⋆	$-$$	⋆⋆⋆
Nutrition & hydration (CHO–protein timing, fluids)	Energetic substrate replenishment, repair	⋆⋆⋆	⋆⋆⋆	$-$$	⋆⋆⋆
Cold-water immersion	Soreness reduction, neuromuscular stress	⋆⋆	⋆⋆	$$	⋆⋆
Heat / sauna	Circulation, glycogen resynthesis	⋆⋆	⋆⋆	$-$$	⋆⋆
Contrast baths	Swelling, soreness relief	⋆⋆	⋆	$$	⋆⋆
Compression garments	Venous return, soreness relief	⋆	⋆⋆⋆	$	⋆⋆⋆
Pneumatic compression	Circulatory enhancement	⋆	⋆⋆	$$	⋆⋆
Blood-flow restriction	Local perfusion, torque recovery	⋆⋆	⋆	$$	⋆⋆
Massage / manual therapy	Relaxation, DOMS reduction	⋆⋆	⋆⋆	$$$	⋆⋆
Foam rolling / SMR	Flexibility, perception	⋆⋆	⋆⋆⋆	$	⋆⋆⋆
Active recovery	Metabolite clearance	⋆⋆	⋆⋆⋆	$	⋆⋆
Mindfulness / breathwork	Psychosocial reset, sleep support	⋆⋆	⋆⋆⋆	$	⋆⋆ − ⋆⋆⋆
Emerging modalities (EMS, PBM, etc.)	Adjunctive / individualized	⋆	⋆	$$-$$$	⋆ − ⋆⋆⋆

CHO, carbohydrate; SMR, self-myofascial release; EMS, electrical muscle stimulation; PBM, photobiomodulation.
*Cost indicative per player per season: $ < 100 ; $$ 100–5'000 ; $$$ > 5'000 or requiring staff/facilities.
⋆ ratings: ⋆ = limited ; ⋆⋆ = moderate ; ⋆⋆⋆ = strong evidence and applied impact.

Table 3. Practical prioritization of recovery modalities

Priority level	Modalities	Rationale
1. Core	Sleep, nutrition/hydration, compression garments, foam rolling/SMR	Highest impact; lowest cost; universally applicable; easy to sustain during travel
2. Scalable	CWI (11–15 °C), sauna/heat, pneumatic compression boots, guided breathing/mindfulness, active recovery	Moderate evidence; efficient for team contexts; manageable logistics; good balance of practicality and compliance.
3. Targeted	Manual therapy, supervised BFR, cryotherapy, emerging technologies	Higher cost and staff time; modest or context-dependent benefits; best reserved for individualized use.

BFR, Blood flow restriction; CWI, cold-water immersion; SMR, self-myofascial release

Limitations

- Hockey-specific recovery studies remain scarce; much evidence is extrapolated from soccer, rugby, and basketball.
- Most data describe acute recovery windows; few address longitudinal, season-long fatigue accumulation.
- Goaltenders and skaters remain undifferentiated in existing literature.
- Athlete compliance, operational cost, and feasibility are under-quantified despite being primary determinants of success.

Conclusion

In professional men's ice hockey, effective recovery depends less on technological sophistication and more on consistent, context-aware strategies. Optimization of sleep and nutrition remain the foundation of effective recovery. The addition of portable, low-cost adjuncts are complementary as they provide a balanced combination of effectiveness, feasibility, and athlete compliance. Complex or resource-intensive modalities offer incremental gains but rarely justify full-squad use during congested competition schedules. By applying a feasibility-to-impact mindset and embedding recovery routines into existing travel and team structures, health and performance staff can maximize readiness, minimize fatigue accumulation, and sustain player health across the season.

Practical Applications

- Prioritize foundations: Sleep and structured nutrition are non-negotiable.
- Keep it simple: Portable, low-cost strategies fit within tight schedules and can be completed independently by players. Autonomy increases consistency and reduces staff burden.
- Individualize where it matters: Use moderate-cost or more complex interventions selectively, directed toward players with higher loads, individual response profiles, or targeted recovery needs.
- Use high-tech sparingly: Emerging modalities should be reserved selectively or when budget and logistics allow.
- Integrate monitoring: Combine quick subjective with simple objective markers to adjust recovery plans.
- Think operationally: The real cost of recovery lies in staff time, scheduling, and athlete education—plan for these before purchasing new technology

References

1. Vigh-Larsen JF, Mohr M. The physiology of ice hockey performance: An update. Scand J Med Sci Sports. janv 2024;34(1):e14284.

2. Brocherie F, Girard O, Millet GP. Updated analysis of changes in locomotor activities across periods in an international ice hockey game. Biol Sport. sept 2018;35(3):261-7.

3. Lignell E, Fransson D, Krustrup P, Mohr M. Analysis of High-Intensity Skating in Top-Class Ice Hockey Match-Play in Relation to Training Status and Muscle Damage. J Strength Cond Res. mai 2018;32(5):1303-10.

4. Mosenthal W, Kim M, Holzshu R, Hanypsiak B, Athiviraham A. Common Ice Hockey Injuries and Treatment: A Current Concepts Review. Curr Sports Med Rep. oct 2017;16(5):357-62.

5. Wang J, Xia L. Investigation of effects of Circadian Rhythm in Sport: A bibliometric analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 22 déc 2023;102(51):e34229.

6. Bogdanis GC, Nevill ME, Boobis LH, Lakomy HK. Contribution of phosphocreatine and aerobic metabolism to energy supply during repeated sprint exercise. J Appl Physiol Bethesda Md 1985. mars 1996;80(3):876-84.

7. Gaitanos GC, Williams C, Boobis LH, Brooks S. Human muscle metabolism during intermittent maximal exercise. J Appl Physiol Bethesda Md 1985. août 1993;75(2):712-9.

8. Vigh-Larsen JF, Ermidis G, Rago V, Randers MB, Fransson D, Nielsen JL, et al. Muscle Metabolism and Fatigue during Simulated Ice Hockey Match-Play in Elite Players. Med Sci Sports Exerc. oct 2020;52(10):2162-71.

9. Green HJ, Daub BD, Painter DC, Thomson JA. Glycogen depletion patterns during ice hockey performance. Med Sci Sports. 1978;10(4):289-93.

10. Vigh-Larsen JF, Ørtenblad N, Spriet LL, Overgaard K, Mohr M. Muscle Glycogen Metabolism and High-Intensity Exercise Performance: A Narrative Review. Sports Med Auckl NZ. sept 2021;51(9):1855-74.

11. Vigh-Larsen JF, Ørtenblad N, Emil Andersen O, Thorsteinsson H, Kristiansen TH, Bilde S, et al. Fibre type- and localisation-specific muscle glycogen utilisation during repeated high-intensity intermittent exercise. J Physiol. nov 2022;600(21):4713-30.

12. Allen DG, Lamb GD, Westerblad H. Skeletal muscle fatigue: cellular mechanisms. Physiol Rev. janv 2008;88(1):287-332.

13. Bishop DJ. Fatigue during intermittent-sprint exercise. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. sept 2012;39(9):836-41.

14. Fiorenza M, Hostrup M, Gunnarsson TP, Shirai Y, Schena F, Iaia FM, et al. Neuromuscular Fatigue and Metabolism during High-Intensity Intermittent Exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc. août 2019;51(8):1642-52.

15. Noonan B, Mack G, Stachenfeld N. The effects of hockey protective equipment on high-intensity intermittent exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc. août 2007;39(8):1327-35.

16. Stellingwerff T, Cox GR. Systematic review: Carbohydrate supplementation on exercise performance or capacity of varying durations. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab Physiol Appl Nutr Metab. sept 2014;39(9):998-1011.

17. Linseman ME, Palmer MS, Sprenger HM, Spriet LL. Maintaining hydration with a carbohydrate-electrolyte solution improves performance, thermoregulation, and fatigue during an ice hockey scrimmage. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab Physiol Appl Nutr Metab. nov 2014;39(11):1214-21.

18. Nyman DLE, Gamble ASD, Bigg JL, Boyd LA, Vanderheyden AJ, Spriet LL. Carbohydrate Mouth-Rinsing Improves Overtime Physical Performance in Male Ice Hockey Players During On-Ice Scrimmages. Front Nutr. 2022;9:792708.

19. Gandevia SC. Spinal and Supraspinal Factors in Human Muscle Fatigue. Physiol Rev. 10 janv 2001;81(4):1725-89.

20. Taylor JL, Todd G, Gandevia SC. Evidence for a supraspinal contribution to human muscle fatigue. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. avr 2006;33(4):400-5.

21. Silva JR, Rumpf MC, Hertzog M, Castagna C, Farooq A, Girard O, et al. Acute and Residual Soccer Match-Related Fatigue: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Sports Med Auckl NZ. mars 2018;48(3):539-83.

22. Fullagar HHK, Duffield R, Skorski S, Coutts AJ, Julian R, Meyer T. Sleep and Recovery in Team Sport: Current Sleep-Related Issues Facing Professional Team-Sport Athletes. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. nov 2015;10(8):950-7.

23. Thorsteinsson H, Vigh-Larsen JF, Panduro J, Fristrup B, Kruse DZ, Gliemann L, et al. The recovery of muscle function and glycogen levels following game-play in young elite male ice hockey players. Scand J Med Sci Sports. déc 2023;33(12):2457-69.

24. Nédélec M, Halson S, Abaidia AE, Ahmaidi S, Dupont G. Stress, Sleep and Recovery in Elite Soccer: A Critical Review of the Literature. Sports Med Auckl NZ. oct 2015;45(10):1387-400.

25. Nédélec M, Halson S, Delecroix B, Abaidia AE, Ahmaidi S, Dupont G. Sleep Hygiene and Recovery Strategies in Elite Soccer Players. Sports Med Auckl NZ. nov 2015;45(11):1547-59.

26. Hawley JA, Tipton KD, Millard-Stafford ML. Promoting training adaptations through nutritional interventions. J Sports Sci. juill 2006;24(7):709-21.

27. Ranchordas MK, Dawson JT, Russell M. Practical nutritional recovery strategies for elite soccer players when limited time separates repeated matches. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 2017;14:35.

28. Sánchez Díaz M, Martín-Castellanos A, Fernández-Elías VE, López Torres O, Lorenzo Calvo J. Effects of Polyphenol Consumption on Recovery in Team Sport Athletes of Both Sexes: A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 1 oct 2022;14(19).

29. Jäger R, Heileson JL, Abou Sawan S, Dickerson BL, Leonard M, Kreider RB, et al. International Society of Sports Nutrition Position Stand: Long-Chain Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. déc 2025;22(1):2441775.

30. Nuccio RP, Barnes KA, Carter JM, Baker LB. Fluid Balance in Team Sport Athletes and the Effect of Hypohydration on Cognitive, Technical, and Physical Performance. Sports Med Auckl NZ. oct 2017;47(10):1951-82.

31. Querido SM, Radaelli R, Brito J, Vaz JR, Freitas SR. Analysis of Recovery Methods' Efficacy Applied up to 72 Hours Postmatch in Professional Football: A Systematic Review With Graded Recommendations. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 1 sept 2022;17(9):1326-42.

32. Moore E, Fuller JT, Buckley JD, Saunders S, Halson SL, Broatch JR, et al. Impact of Cold-Water Immersion Compared with Passive Recovery Following a Single Bout of Strenuous Exercise on Athletic Performance in Physically Active Participants: A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis and Meta-regression. Sports Med Auckl NZ. juill 2022;52(7):1667-88.

33. Rousse Y, Sautillet B, Costalat G, Brocherie F, Millet GP. Isolated and Combined Effects of Cold, Heat and Hypoxia Therapies on Muscle Recovery Following Exercise-Induced Muscle Damage. Sports Med [Internet]. 22 sept 2025 [cité 21 oct 2025]; Disponible sur: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-025-02300-8

34. Thorpe RT. Post-exercise Recovery: Cooling and Heating, a Periodized Approach. Front Sports Act Living [Internet]. 1 sept 2021 [cité 21 oct 2025];3. Disponible sur: `https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sports-and-active-living/articles/10.3389/fspor.2021.707503/full`

35. Tavares F, Smith TB, Driller M. Fatigue and Recovery in Rugby: A Review. Sports Med Auckl NZ. août 2017;47(8):1515-30.

36. Weakley J, Broatch J, O'Riordan S, Morrison M, Maniar N, Halson SL. Putting the Squeeze on Compression Garments: Current Evidence and Recommendations for Future Research: A Systematic Scoping Review. Sports Med Auckl NZ. mai 2022;52(5):1141-60.

37. Maia F, Nakamura FY, Sarmento H, Marcelino R, Ribeiro J. Effects of lower-limb intermittent pneumatic compression on sports recovery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Biol Sport. oct 2024;41(4):263-75.

38. Martínez-Aranda LM, Sanz-Matesanz M, García-Mantilla ED, González-Fernández FT. Effects of Self-Myofascial Release on Athletes' Physical Performance: A Systematic Review. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 11 janv 2024;9(1):20.

39. Ortiz ROJ, Sinclair Elder AJ, Elder CL, Dawes JJ. A Systematic Review on the Effectiveness of Active Recovery Interventions on Athletic Performance of Professional-, Collegiate-, and Competitive-Level Adult Athletes. J Strength Cond Res. août 2019;33(8):2275.

40. Kellmann M, Beckmann J, éditeurs. Sport, Recovery, and Performance: Interdisciplinary Insights. London: Routledge; 2017. 284 p.

41. Pan X, Soh KG, Soh KL. Viable strategies for enhancing performance in ball sports by mitigating mental fatigue: A systematic review. PloS One. 2024;19(11):e0313105.

42. Malone JK, Blake C, Caulfield BM. Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation During Recovery From Exercise: A Systematic Review. J Strength Cond Res. sept 2014;28(9):2478.

43. Canez MS, da Silva LI, Ferreira GD, de Araújo FX, Luza LP. Effects of photobiomodulation, intermittent pneumatic compression and neuromuscular electrical stimulation on muscle recovery: Systematic review with meta-analysis. J Bodyw Mov Ther. oct 2025;44:570-84.

44. Théraulaz B, Hippolyte R. La bible des préférences motrices ActionTypes: Individualisation de l'accompagnement, comment? pourquoi? [Internet]. Editions Amphora; 2024. Disponible sur: `https://books.google.ch/books?id=9uLJ0AEACAAJ`

45. Chiarlitti NA, Crozier M, Insogna JA, Reid RER, Delisle-Houde P. Longitudinal Physiological and Fitness Evaluations in Elite Ice Hockey: A Systematic Review. J Strength Cond Res. 1 oct 2021;35(10):2963-79.

46. Halson SL. Monitoring training load to understand fatigue in athletes. Sports Med Auckl NZ. nov 2014;44 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S139-147.

47. Besson C, Baggish AL, Monteventi P, Schmitt L, Stucky F, Gremeaux V. Assessing the clinical reliability of short-term heart rate variability: insights from controlled dual-environment and dual-position measurements. Sci Rep. 15 févr 2025;15(1):5611.

48. Thorpe RT, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Monitoring Fatigue Status in Elite Team-Sport Athletes: Implications for Practice. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. avr 2017;12(Suppl 2):S227-34.

49. Dellaserra CL, Gao Y, Ransdell L. Use of integrated technology in team sports: a review of opportunities, challenges, and future directions for athletes. J Strength Cond Res. févr 2014;28(2):556-73.

50. Davis JK, Oikawa SY, Halson S, Stephens J, O'Riordan S, Luhrs K, et al. In-Season Nutrition Strategies and Recovery Modalities to Enhance Recovery for Basketball Players: A Narrative Review. Sports Med Auckl NZ. mai 2022;52(5):971-93.

51. Edholm P, Ørtenblad N, Holmberg HC, Sperlich B. Optimizing recovery strategies for winter athletes: insights for Milano-Cortina 2026 Olympic Games. Sport Sci Health. 1 déc 2024;20(4):1169-82.

52. Bonnar D, Bartel K, Kakoschke N, Lang C. Sleep Interventions Designed to Improve Athletic Performance and Recovery: A Systematic Review of Current Approaches. Sports Med Auckl NZ. mars 2018;48(3):683-703.

53. Buchheit M. The Numbers Will Love You Back in Return-I Promise. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. mai 2016;11(4):551-4.